UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,315	08/22/2005	Poopathy Kathirgamanathan	LUC-012	8381
David Silverste	7590 08/04/200 in	EXAMINER		
Andover IP Law			YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE	
Suite 300 44 Park Street,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Andover, MA (01810		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/537,315	KATHIRGAMANATHAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marie R. Yamnitzky	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 50-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 50 and 52-56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 51 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed an is/are: a \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	awn from consideration. or election requirement. ner.	Evaminar		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a decision to the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/537,315

Art Unit: 1794

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in

Page 2

37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is

eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e)

has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to

37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 02, 2009 has been partially entered (the

amendment to the specification as filed March 02, 2009 has not been entered), and applicant's

submission filed on May 12, 2009 has been entered.

2. The specification has been amended, claim 50 has been amended, and claims 55 and 56

have been added.

Claims 50-56 are pending.

3. The terminal disclaimer filed on March 02, 2009, disclaiming the terminal portion of any

patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of Patent No.

7,211,334, has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

4. The objection to the specification as set forth in the Office action mailed November 03,

2008 is overcome by amendment. However, other informalities in the disclosure which were not

noted by the prior examiner are set forth below.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,315

Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

There is no brief description of the drawings.

Example 8 apparently misidentifies a reactant in indicating that the calcium complex of Example 6 was heated under reflux with "phenanthrene". Phenanthrene is an aromatic hydrocarbon compound having three fused benzene rings. Phenanthroline, in contrast, is a heteroaromatic compound having two pyridine rings fused to a benzene ring. The formula for Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂, which is identified as the product obtained after reflux per Example 8, has two phenanthroline ligands (specifically, two 1,10-phenanthroline ligands). Given the disclosure beginning at page 2, line 18, 1,10-phenanthroline is expected to be the reactant used per Example 8 rather than phenanthrene.

Appropriate correction is required.

6. Claims 50 and 52-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 50, 52, 55 and 56 recite "diphenanthryl calcium bis-(4-*t*-butylacetyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-pyrazol-5onate)[Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂]". Presuming that the abbreviation "Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂" is intended to mean the same compound as the name "diphenanthryl calcium bis-(4-*t*-butylacetyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-pyrazol-5onate)", the name is inconsistent with the structure shown for Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂ as set forth in Example 8. The formula for Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂ does not show a diphenanthryl ligand or two phenanthryl ligands; instead, the formula shows two 1,10-

Art Unit: 1794

phenanthroline ligands. To be consistent with the formula for Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂, the examiner suggests changing "diphenanthryl" to --bis(1,10-phenanthroline)--.

Claims 53 and 56 require a hole transport layer of "α-NBP". The abbreviation "α-NBP" is not used and/or defined elsewhere in the disclosure. (However, pages 23-24 describe devices having a layer of "α-NPB" and the formula for "α-NPB" is shown in Fig. 1.)

7. Claims 50 and 52-54 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. (Amendment of claims 50 and 52 is required to correct the name for the calcium complex, and amendment of claim 53 is required to correct the abbreviation.)

Claim 51 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. (Claim 51 would also be allowable as is if claim 50 is amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.)

Claim 56 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claim 55 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 52. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim

Art Unit: 1794

to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). As noted above, claim 52 will be allowable upon correction of the issues raised under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, with respect to claims 50 and 52.

- 9. The rejection of claims 50, 51 and 54 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Kathirgamanathan in view of Gao et al. has been reconsidered and is withdrawn, as is the corresponding rejection of claim 53 further in view of Qiu et al. While the Kathirgamanathan and Gao references disclose terbium complexes, neither reference discloses the combination of ligands required by present Tb(pyr)₃OPNP, and neither reference discloses the specific ligand structure of the present "pyr" ligands. As demonstrated by the data in Table 2 of the Gao reference, very small changes in the ligand structure of ligands similar to "pyr" can have a relatively large effect on luminescent properties of complexes made with the ligands, with no specific trend shown between the size/shape of the alkyl group at "R" for Gao's central ligands having an alkyl group at "R" and the luminescent properties.
- 10. Although the obviousness-type double patenting rejection over Patent No. 7,211,334 in view of Marchetti et al. is overcome by the terminal disclaimer filed March 02, 2009, the present examiner also formally withdraws the rejection, and withdraws the related rejection which was made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The '334 patent encompasses an electroluminescent device comprising an electroluminescent material which is a calcium complex having the two "pyr" ligands of present

Art Unit: 1794

Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂. However, the patent claims are silent with respect to the two "phen" ligands of present Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂, and the patent disclosure as a whole does not teach complexes having a combination of "pyr" ligands and neutral ligands that are the same as, or similar to, the presently required "phen" ligands. Although the Marchetti reference discloses Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂, this reference makes no mentions of any light-emissive capabilities of the complex that might suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art that Ca(pyr)₂Phen₂ could be used in place of Ca(pyr)₂ in a device as disclosed and claimed in the '334 patent. There is insufficient motivation to combine the teachings of the '334 patent and the Marchetti et al. reference to arrive at the presently claimed device.

In applicant's responses filed March 02, 2009 and May 12, 2009, applicant argued that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on the '334 patent in view of Marchetti et al. was overcome by the terminal disclaimer and accompanying assignment document filed March 02, 2009 establishing common ownership. In order to overcome a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) via 102(e), common ownership of the application and the reference "at the time the invention was made" must be established (see MPEP 706.02(l)(2) II). The terminal disclaimer and assignment document only establish that the application and the reference are presently commonly owned. The examiner also notes that if there were proper motivation to combine the references, then a similar rejection could also have been made using the PCT publication (WO 03/006573) related to the '334 patent, which is available under 103(a) via 102(a) and 102(e) since the present claims are not supported by applicant's GB foreign priority application filed December 05, 2002 However, since the rejection is withdrawn, the issue is moot.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,315 Page 7

Art Unit: 1794

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Monday and Wednesday-Friday.

The current fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300. (Unofficial faxes to be sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

/Marie R. Yamnitzky/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

MRY August 02, 2009